

BOOK

To be covered:

1. US ~~AF~~ ~~FU~~ Policy: Nuclear, Rosenberg; King; SC;
NATO; UN Resolutions Carters, 1977

Somerville

(Read: NFU Thesis)

2. Cite: the = 153;

55+ diaries

NEED TO PREVENT OR ELIMINATE NEW, FS WEAPONS

- CURRENT CRISIS: Prospect of getting worse: KS

" " " irreversible

(SU response, toward hats)

3. Prevention war: NSC-100;

the-Dulles

JCS, 1945 -

MOVE, NOT TO PREVENTIVE WAR

(in this sense; "new weapons do not change the situation")

BUT TO PREEMPTIVE ATTACK, or LOW

4. Preemptive Attack

Nuclear, Rosenberg, Kaplan

5. Risks to Allies.

53, 58
the / Japan ...
(55?)

'62
JFK: Bully, Italy

- VN: '64 NUCS; (TONKIN GULF, ESC? ON BOMBING PROPENSITY OF US;

CUBA II

ESCALATION

COERCION (TORTURE)

NIXON: NUCS

QUENOU

B-52

ORANGE

(1961...)

(+ DE ?!)

(WESTY + GIES?)

OBEEDIENCE (MILGRAM; KELMAN)
MASSACRE

- BERLIN CRISES (and the new movement)

- Demo. Baller Hop, Missile Hop

N-BOMB

(Cohen) Relief (Ear movement;

(see New Age; PF testimony; Notion

"long: N-bomb delay → P/COM decision!

MORALITY — NO LIMITS; LILIENTHAL

(FAILING RIGHT: "BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY")

(SECRECY? CLEARANCES? + LIES

+ CUBA^{II}? ...

(IN VN: 1964-65

(K "ATROCITIES")

- Presidents and Threats:

Were they "bluffing"?

Could they control?

What were efforts?

- MICGRAM/KELMAN: "NO CHOICE"

- Is one now really tied to threats?

Are Presidents all fully "aboard": either, use of threats,
or are build-up?

(Coalition); "Ironies": "To reject A, reject B"

WHAT ARE THE REAL ^{or} EFFECTS OF THREATS? DID THEY "WORK"?

- SC Threats?

(Michael v. Freyhold)

✓ Efforts of one with on planning).

- Probing risks

- Hurden + Rowley + Ball: 1950's planning
+ Kepner

- DE notes: GEOP, SIOP, GW;

Delegation; Japan;

C3

CUBA / CRISES

- NITZE Analysis; + Sanders

Read:

- Carter, Vane, Bry., ^(K...) Paul, K... memoirs.
Turner

- Haig,

Refs on FU: Ege, Paine

- N, HAK, Hersch

- Kaldas: 3 vols.

- Block, Gardner, Kernan

- Sharp; Fragson + Emerson? on 1980... SANDERS

- ME: Chowdhury; Chowdhury, Herman; Herman;
Martine Dixon

Euclidean, Notes of Soul; Copeland, Hum of Nations...
Sug...

- Aldridge, First Strike; Arkin, SIOP DIANA JOHNSTONE: P/CM

- Talk to: - Tam Power;
- Hargen ("Emerson Kibb")
- on being of paper

(VN / Central America?)

Negato books: Strode Tolbott; SACT
Grand Smith;

- Sovits :....

- "Superiority" Handlin: Loc + Paul Thuyet; Metaphysics;
Sharp: 2 vols.
Sharp on Print Action: 3 vols

- History of HX, P, CM
1 otto
P. J. Smith

- REVENGE / SHANE

- DECISION THEORY

- "Civilization" (New Age)

- Efforts of activists mount a VN
Moratorium; Goodell;

- Objections: Milgram; Kelman; Koestler; Manford

- CRISIS PATTERN

- Role of churches; morality; "conversion"
Theology; Blegwood-Hite; "OPTIMISM"
Bible; Jesus, etc.

next steps
Oakland, March 19

- Role of women: Killigan; ^{Sue} Mansfield

- Energy; Moratorium; Congress;

- Revenge; Shame;

- Consensus on H-bomb, fall-out: "Keep Them Confused"

- US/UK Public Reaction on WWII Bombing?

- Pacifism? Unilateral Disarmament?

- Good and Evil Actions

- Good's Misdeeds

- Genocide

(Kuperus; Novak; Rubenstein HILBERG (VN, Milgram ^{Himmler; Koch}

- NUREMBERG: "We Are All guilty Now..." What should they + Germans, ^{have done?}

- Actions:

- RF (Peters; Rohrer's statement; (^{DS} to judge?))

- Deming: tape, statement (BATOURIN)

- Disarmament?

- Vandenberg

Anti-Doctrine

- Draft Resistance: Central American, ME + new War

ne
1961:

Remember:

D-L

2d - still D-L

"Super-Deterrence": interaction
C3
nuclear

For Nature of "FS, RU"

weapons;

interactions

actual course of war

C3 (DE, Ball, Strick

"Bluff"

"Averting WWII"

"Ending War" (Court, Office...)

- Averting war in Europe

Scowcroft rationale for MX [Chap. 14: Critique needed]

"Averting WWII fought with conventional weapons" "= New WWII" (Heming)

What is your plan?

When does this end?

Can race be stopped?

(Do Pres' want to stop?)

Have they in fact?

Does R?

Does Pres have power to stop?

(Can we hold him back that

Power?)

Factors that stabilize relations - Violence.

- Development of Cath. position?:

- Appeal to work - production, design

- rejection of NATO planning

- Call to meeting

- Unilateral halt & further prod., testing, deployment

(if necessary; if Pres won't propose unilateral freeze)

My involt:

1943

Suey

1945

to time

(1946)

JEK admin

1948-53

C3

1954

1956

1958-63

1964

1964-65

1965-67

1968

1969

1970

1971

1971-1972-74 (N)

1974 (Popus stolen) - 75

1976 - Walk

1977

1978-79 N-bomb; RF

(1980 Fridge; Mass.

1982: Eur

1983: CAG, Vandalism, Mt Killy, Fridge that TF, Europe, Fast

Book for 85

— Model of Arms Race/US review #3

My low
understanding:
SU counter-
measures

— What's wrong with "Arms Control" — what they don't know,
leave out... (neglect of our threats...)

— Ambiguities: ^{types of} deterrence, ^{types of} stability, ^{types of} "FS"; regions of FU
"security" (US interest)

— Effects of secrecy

— Theories of build-up: "for Type I Det"

2d-stage D-L

Why the need? Why from SU 2d stage?

US FS cap., threats, US position, FU threats,

How did USG become build Doomsday Machine?

(Note Teller in LA to Col. Keesing: to DE 1982)

"I couldn't solve problem of 'beating'

SU CO"

He had solved it, in 1952."

"We have
brought about
a catastrophe"

Consequences/costs/risks of FU threat - policy:

i.e. of the "Cohort view on US interests, and threats

(Nixon 1949) that drives US on — with the present

a bilateral halt — drives SU on — prolongs (rising risks)

and intensifies/confrontation/Praxis → !

— How Could They/We?

(VN; Doomsday Machine; ^{End of} Fortune, Terror

US as "Totalitarian" State

("Vs. Scramble War")

^{Knowledge, Form}

making Total War

(Infant. Mort. ("abortion"

Frankin Kufel! Jay Austin/Fukini

212-556-7367

Queeny
Korea

— (We can't be the ones
who call this all off...")

Talk to: York, May, Campbell. (Wood?)

or Big Articles

Back: Construction of Instability
Threat of Punishment } article in
Int. Sec.;
(or Foreign Policy
or World Policy?)

Relation of Count Plans
to my SIOP Mindset (How come?)

- Schles, Kaplan
- (2) "Hints that 'new' plans were mine of '61: ^{Wf 'new'?} ^{Wf never relevant}
(b) Hints of N's nuclear threats, 1969.) _{new?}

(Establish my past credentials, and the current relevance,

Relation to WWII

"Mosses Ritual" / New Deal

WWI
Post-Threats (Boston, R)
... to a "different, elite view of history"

(see Powers on Count Outing)

"public had a child's
view of history"

(and of character of
"US, democracy..."!)

{ Scowcroft

{ Lack of concern with "Windows of Vulnerability"

+ I have a special reason to want to know
how this happened —

(and maybe — if I can be honest — special
insight).

Transcribe?

- UCLA ~~strategy~~^{lecture} on Ntze
- Capra lecture
- (Notes on) conv. with Thomason / Breemle
- Seminars (Breemle)

Use form of lecture?

Article

MX

Aspin, Gore (Common

Scowcroft

Midgton (MARV)

"Stability"

Build-down

"Verification" (see notes of Feb 84)

designed to keep both sides producing,
not to stop SU

SU accept FS/CF progress on "US-preferred,"
"optimal"

for US "credibility" of (persistent) threats
and for mobilization of US public support for
arms budget, intervention, and CF cap.

13 Jan 85

for:

Find: Stanford lecture #1

Irwin lectures

Harvard lectures

Ran Dass book

Thesis?

Reversion lectures?

"How to get there" (absol. of res, reduction, stabilizing)

Gardelovich + critique

R/public vs. Frey

Article:

- What Mandala could have said...

(Nov '84)

- Was there a difference between M + R?

(see Poine on "Monetism")

M on "Frey"

see head of Am Control Assoc. Bob...

(Neil Johnson tape...)

"Who on your staff backs the Frey?"

"He does..." (Gardelovich)...

[Need for Pres with new staff, not in post Advis!]

- W/ R votes from Freygers

- Carolyn Blumkin on Frey conves

- But CDD poll on Frey, thinks

Hallup poll on war...

- M/G Crisis / Neurogna

Three FU + CA (Cuba) - or horizontal etc.

Falklands

- What is Adv strategy w/ res?

w/ CA?

- Chris Poine: Testing

- Frey "Post-Mortem" 1979-84

(ins. attitude of "experts," "am controls"...

Frey Vs. SANE/C.C./CFLW approach, lobbying...

Bill (invent.)
story of
for. Monetism
Plans
(and NFU plans?)
(Am education
plans?)

NFU
Am monetism

Key facts / un-facts (lies) for understanding post-war (pre-war?) world

- Strat bombing policy.
- Motives for Hiroshima/Nagasaki.
- Early postwar US war plans.
- Motives for invasion in Korea, H-bomb (early 1949; after SU bomb)
- Motives for "defence" build up (NSC-68),
and for troops to Europe, NATO
- US postwar aims:
 - CFR, 1939-45 "New World" (OK, etc. up to)
 - aim with Germany. (alliance, division, "Western")
 - "free Trade"
- US war preparation: 1945-49
1950-64
- US EU threats, + "nukes"
- Relation of SAC to EU threat - credibility, possibility of successful
CW: how, to end + success of US intentions.
- US CIA (+ financial) role in control, coups (in TW;
and in West Europe
(and US??))
- USG willing to use, as instruments,
 - torture
 - imprisonment
 - destruction of democracy
 - assass.
 - bombing of civilians
 - destruction of dikes, dams: flooding, starvation
 - coups
 - economic warfare (e.g. boycott of credit, or of oil/energy,
or spare parts; ... blockade
- NATO as "Alliance for US EU" [endorsement of ... MASS-MURDER, INC.]
- Shift from A to H-bombs in plans + intentions.

} things proper

Need for,
possibility of,
public
understanding:
every
lies
distortion
interpretation
(control of)

see Cohen
"Intelligence";
AJW on 2/47.

[and no real effort to "contain, abolish" big,
dirty bombs on either side: even as some are replaced
by "small, clean, usable bombs" for "war-fighting"]
or prevent escalation - which means so that
in order to limit nuclear war, and make the war usable,
usable.

- New CF Role: 1967-83-

MIAVs

accompany

MMII/april 1977

85-18 " 1981

P/CM (85-20...)

...

- Dilemma of stability

- French and Soviet concerns about Ghana: armed, unified, independent...

- US concern for oil of Middle East, on par with NATO
(1946 plus, on; Arab Spring 2000, 1982...)

relation to FU threats.

- VS INDIGENOUS "RADICALS"
(OR "FUNDAMENTALISTS")

- VS SU SUPPORT, OR REGIONAL LEGITIMACY

- (Current) US attitude to proliferation, "any friends." (N8607)

- Unwillingness of USG ^{Pres} to seek an end to the arms race —
forego treaty, or the maintenance of CF, credible FU/FS threat

- Reminds USG under-estimation of the commitment of TW
opponents to nationalism, and ^{especially for} patriotic resistance
to US-backed regimes, & to US bombing & troops.

[Like other aggressors]. (Now, Grenada, Lebanon —
(see Boston: Oct 30, NYT 1983)

- Falsity of implication of public rationale for Hiroshima
as a precedent: in fact, Pres' willingness to contemplate
FU of us to avert "non-extinguishing" hot-beds
(not involving need to "avoid one million US casualties"
as in WW II); and to plan FS — to risk
North America — to avert threats (loss of
West Europe) that are not unprecedented (like SD FS).

- US public ^{+USG} non-acceptance of Munich Principles.

(cont.)

-how US admin was to office being proclaimed
that US was (seen as) superior in strength and will,
was inclined to increase & dominate both
(JFK; Reagan) (the